

PARK CITY PLANNING COMMISSION

MINUTES OF MEETING

Wednesday, December 18, 1985, 7:30 p.m.

PARK CITY MUNICIPAL CORPORATION
COUNCIL CHAMBERS
MARSAC OFFICES
PARK CITY, UTAH

COMMISSIONERS IN ATTENDANCE:

Chairman Brad Olch, Ruth Gezelius, Steve Deckert, Randy Rogers, Ron Whaley, Cal Cowher, Ray Robinson

COMMISSIONERS ABSENT

Paul Bickmore (excused)

EX OFFICIO:

Dave Boesch, Current Planning Administrator, Joel Paterson, Planner, Erna Wilson, Planning Secretary

PUBLIC HEARING

7:30 p.m.

1. Deer Valley Special Exception Permit -

Dave Boesch said that tonight's item on the Public Hearing was withdrawn at the request of the applicant, and will be rescheduled at a later date.

REGULAR MEETING

I. Roll Call

The meeting began at 7:35 p.m.

II. Public Input

Randy Rogers mentioned that in a recent survey conducted by the Chamber/Bureau, the Planning Commission received the lowest public approval rating. Mr. Rogers found this interesting since the public rarely attends the meetings affording them the opportunity to voice their opinions.

Steve Deckert said that he had heard rumors that, Tom Clyde, City Attorney, revoked the Commission's approval on the Rio Grande

Outdoor Dining application. Dave Boesch said that Mr. Clyde had some concerns as to the granting of a conditional use approval for an outdoor dining use that may be separate from the ski shop that was proposed on the same site. Mr. Boesch said that there have been subsequent meetings with the city staff which indicated that the matter is still open to interpretation. Mr. Boesch said that the item was scheduled on Council's agenda the next day and that the City Attorney recommended that the approval by the Planning Commission was in violation of the city's zoning ordinance.

III. Minutes of November 27, 1985 and December 4, 1985.

Motion

Ron Whaley: "I move that we approve the minutes of November 27, 1985". Ruth Gezelius seconded the motion and the vote was unanimous with Cal Cowher abstaining because he was not in attendance at that meeting.

Motion

Ron Whaley: "I move that we approve the minutes of December 4, 1985". Ruth Gezelius seconded the motion and the vote was unanimous.

IV. Consent Agenda

1. Pine Inn Condominium Plat - Condominium plat approval for the ten-unit first phase of this project located at 2100 Deer Valley Drive South.

Motion

Ruth Gezelius: "I move that we approve the Consent Agenda item". Cal Cowher seconded the motion and the vote was unanimous.

V. Old Business

VI. New Business

1. Sweeney Properties MPD - Decision on proposed Large Scale Master Planned Development and a recommendation to the City Council on the requested height variation.

Chairman, Brian Olch said that the Commissioners had received a letter from Gary Kimball that day and asked Dave Boesch to comment on Mr. Kimball's letter. Mr. Boesch said that Mr. Kimball had raised questions about a tree cutting ordinance that was passed back in the early 1900's. Mr. Boesch said that all ordinances and codes were recombined in 1940 and for some reason that ordinance was excluded. Mr. Boesch said that Mr. Kimball was questioning whether or not the Treasure Mountain property at some time in the past was offered to be dedicated to the city as a result of that ordinance. Staff researched

4

Planning Commission
December 18, 1985 Minutes
Page 3

the books at the city offices, and did not come up with anything indicating any formal discussion on the topic. However, Mr. Boesch said, staff has not yet had the opportunity to search the archival records that are housed in the library. Dave Boesch summarized by saying that the fact of the matter is that the subject property belongs to the Sweeney family and anything to the contrary has yet to be determined or verified.

There were no comments from Mr. Kimball who was in attendance.

Dave Boesch made the following clarifications to the Staff report and recommendations:

1. On page 1, it states that the only actual rezoning that is proposed is to rezone that property not included within the hillside development sites to Recreation Open Space (ROS), when actually the development sites themselves will become master planned development (E/HR-1-MPD) zoned properties.
2. On page 1, number 1, change the numbers 19-16 to 19-26.
3. On page 1, number 5, delete the word "code"
4. On page 2, a few grammatical errors would be changed.
5. On page 3, number 2, again, the rezoning to ROS and the other properties being identified as subject to a MPD approval. Last sentence should read "A minimum of 70% open space shall be provided within each of the hillside development parcels created".
6. On page 7, Narrative, second sentence should read "Combined, a total of 258 residential and 19 commercial unit equivalents, for a total of 277 unit equivalents".
7. On page 9, Miscellaneous Properties, the last sentence should be clarified to read that it is a 3500 square foot footprint.
8. Phasing Exhibit:
 - a) Extend time on Crescent Walkway from 1986 until 1996.
 - b) Extend time on Trails by two years until 1989.
 - c) Modify the time line for the Empire to Crescent Walkway to be consistent with that shown for the Creole Gulch.
 - d) Tie the 6th Street stairs with the development of the Town Lift Mid-Station.
 - e) 4th and 5th Street stairs shall be tied into either the development of the hillside properties or some kind of trade-off for allowing adjacent projects to use the right-of-way for parking with a footnote to that effect.
 - f) Creole Gulch timeline should start at 1996 instead of 1997.

Motion:

Ray Robinson: "I move that the Sweeney Large Scale Master Planned Development be approved by the Planning Commission, subject to the staff conditions as outlined in the staff report as amended, and also to recommend that the height variation be approved by the City Council". The motion was seconded by Ruth Gezelius.

Vote:

Ayes: Gezelius, Cowher, Robinson
Noes: Rogers, Whaley, Deckert

Chairman Brad Olch, voted in favor of the project and the motion passed.

The staff recommended development parameters and conditions are as follows:

DEVELOPMENT PARAMETERS and CONDITIONS

The staff's recommendation that the Sweeney Properties Large Scale Master Planned Development be approved by the Planning Commission, and subsequently by the City Council, is predicated upon the following terms and conditions. Upon approval, MPE Inc./Sweeney Land Company, its successors or assignees, shall become bound by and obligated for the performance of the following:

1. The Sweeney Properties Master Plan is approved based upon the information and analysis prepared and made a part hereof. While most of the requirements imposed will not be imposed until individual parcels are created or submitted for conditional use approval, certain specific obligations are also identified on the approved phasing plan. At the time of conditional use or subdivision review, the staff and Planning Commission shall review projects for compliance with the adopted codes and ordinances in effect at the time, in addition to ensuring conformance with the approved Master Plan.
2. Upon final approval of the proposed Master Plan, a recordable document (in accordance with the Land Management Code) shall be prepared and submitted. The Official Zone Map will be amended to clearly identify those properties included within the Master Plan and the hillside property not included within either the Town Life Mid-Station or Creole Gulch sites (approximately 110 acres) shall be rezoned to Recreation Open Space. At the time of conditional use review, final building configurations and heights will be reviewed in accordance with the approved Master Plan, applicable zoning codes and related ordinances. A minimum of 70% open space shall be provided within each of the development parcels created except for the Coalition properties.
3. The approved densities are those attached as an Exhibit, and shall be limited to the maximums identified thereon. Parking shall be provided on-site in enclosed structures and reviewed in accordance with either the table on the approved Restrictions and Requirements Exhibit or the adopted ordinances at the time of project approval. All support commercial uses shall be oriented and provide convenient service to those residing within the project and not designed to serve off-site or attract customers from other areas.
4. Access to the Town Lift and Creole sites shall be provided by a private roadway with acceptable emergency access and utility easements provided. No city maintenance of these streets is expected. All utility lines shall be provided underground with private maintenance required wherever located in inaccessible locations or outside approved easements.
5. Building heights shall be limited to the maximum envelope described on the Restrictions and Requirements Exhibit. At the time of conditional use approval, projects shall be reviewed for

conformance with the heights prescribed thereon, and the following:

- (a) The various parcels located within the Historic Residential (HR-1) zone district shall abide by the Land Management Code and no height exceptions will be considered. Maximum building height on the single family lots shall be limited to 25' in order to reduce potential visibility.
- (b) The Coalition East sites are limited to a maximum building height of 55', subject to compliance with the stepped facade (as shown on the applicable plans) concept submitted and the setbacks provided.
- (c) The Coalition West properties are limited to a 35' maximum building height adjacent to Park Avenue and a 28' height along Woodside Avenue; subject to the footprints defined, common underground parking and access, and no commercial uses allowed.
- (d) The Town Lift Mid-Station development is restricted to a maximum height of 35' for at least 90% of the total unit equivalent volume of all above-grade buildings (exclusive of elevator shafts, mechanical equipment, and non-habitable areas) and an overall average height of less than 25' measured from natural, undisturbed grade. Additionally, no portion of any building shall exceed the elevation of 7240' above mean sea level.
- (e) The Creole Gulch site shall be limited to a maximum building height of 75' for at least 83% of the total unit equivalent volume of all above-grade buildings combined. An average overall height of less than 45' shall be provided and no portion of any building shall exceed either elevation 7250' for the eastern-most building or the elevation of 7275' for the balance of the project (above mean sea level).

The above building height restrictions are in accordance with the approved Restrictions and Requirements Exhibits submitted, and are in addition to all other codes, ordinances, and standards.

6. At the time of project review and approval, all buildings shall be reviewed for conformance with the Historic District Design Guidelines and related architectural requirements. No mechanical equipment or similar protuberances (i.e: antennae, flags, etc.) shall be permitted to be visible on any building roof-tops or shall any bright or flashing lights be allowed.
7. All easements, deeds, and/or rights-of-way shall be provided without cost to the city and in accordance with the master plan documents and phasing plan approved. Likewise, it shall be the developer's sole responsibility to secure all easements necessary for the provision of utility services to the project.

8. Master Planned Development approval only conceptually established the ability of local utility service providers to supply service to the projects. It does not constitute any formal approval per se. The applicant has been notified that substantial off-site improvements will be necessary and that the burden is on the future developer(s) to secure various easements and upsize whatever utility lines may be necessary in order to serve this project. Prior to resale of this property in which this MPD approval is carried forward, or prior to any conditional use application for any portion of the MPD, a utility plan addressing water, fire flows, and sanitary sewer, storm drainage, cable utilities, and natural gas shall be prepared for review and approval by City Staff and the Snyderville Basin Sewer Improvement District. Part of the plan shall be cost estimates for each item of utility construction as it is anticipated that major costs for these utilities will be necessary. All such costs shall be paid by the developer unless otherwise provided. If further subdivision of the property occurs, the necessary utility and access improvements (see below) will need to be guaranteed in accordance with city subdivision ordinances. Public utilities, roads, and access questions which will need to be resolved or upgraded by the developers at their cost (in addition to impact fees, water development and connection fees, and all other fees required by city ordinances) are as follows:

- (a) Empire Avenue and Lowell Avenue will be the main access routes to the Creole Gulch site. As such, during construction these roads will need to carry heavy traffic, probably in the vicinity of up to 300 heavy trucks per day. At the present time and until the Creole Gulch site develops, Empire and Lowell south of Manor Way are and will be low-volume residential streets, with a pavement quality, width, and thickness that won't support that type of truck traffic. The City will continue to maintain the streets as low-volume residential streets, including pavement overlays and/or reconstruction. None of that work will be designed for the heavy truck traffic, but in order to save money for the developer of the Creole Gulch site, he or she is encouraged to keep the City Public Works Director notified as to the timetable of construction at Creole Gulch. If the City is notified that the construction is pending such that an improved pavement section can be incorporated into normal City maintenance projects, then it is anticipated that the incremental additional cost of the additional pavement thickness (which is likely to be in the vicinity of 3 additional inches of asphalt over the entire 4,600 linear feet [25-foot asphalt width] of Lowell/Empire south of Manor Way, or approximately \$80,000 additional cost in 1986 dollars) could be paid by the developer with said amount deducted from future impact fees paid to the City as long as it did not exceed the total future impact fees. However, if the increased pavement section is not coordinated with the City by the developer such that the pavement of Lowell and Empire south of Manor Way remains inadequate at the time the Creole Gulch site is

developed, then the developer shall essentially reconstruct the entire 4,600-foot length of Lowell and Empire south of Manor Way at his or her cost, which with excavation and reconstruction of an anticipated 6-inch asphalt thickness on top of 10 inches of road base, plus all other normal construction items and costs, would be in the approximate cost range of \$300,000 to \$400,000 in 1986 dollars. Further, because that reconstruction would be inconvenient to residents and the City, and because delays, impacts, and potential safety hazards would be created over and above normal City maintenance of existing streets, that action by the developer would be a new impact on City residents and the cost therefore would not be deductible from any developer impact fees.

- (b) Contribute to the Park City Village, or other water tanks, determined to be necessary by the City Engineer in order to serve the project with culinary and fire storage. Based on a Type 1 fire resistive construction, it is assumed that the contribution would be on the order of 500,000 gallons at a cost of approximately \$300,000.00, although the exact figures would need to be determined in a detailed study using adopted City standards.
- (c) Construct pumped pressure system(s) with backup emergency power to provide a means of delivery of fire flows to the project. Construct a meter vault at the edge of the road adjacent to the project, beyond which all water facilities would be privately maintained. It is anticipated that in the vicinity of 2,500 feet of 12-inch water line with appurtenances may be required. Such pipe would cost about \$70,000 in 1986 dollars exclusive of the pumps and backup power, which are even more expensive.
- (d) Provide an easement, or pay all costs related to condemnation by Park City of an easement, suitable for construction and maintenance of a storm drain from the project site to Silver Creek or McLeod Creek. All City streets and any public utility drainage easements normally provided in the course of other private development shall be available for utility construction related to this MPD subject to reasonable construction techniques and City standards.
- (e) Pay for downstream detention basin construction costs in accordance with the ratio of increased runoff from the project during the 50-year flood event to the total design volume of the basin.
- (f) Construct a storm drain line to Silver Creek or McLeod Creek adequate to contain the runoff running through and off the site during the 50-year flood event. It is assumed that a minimum of 36-inch concrete storm drain line will need to be installed solely for Creole Gulch drainage. It is further assumed that special clean-out boxes and inlet boxes will need

to be designed to address difficult hydraulic problems. Such boxes are expensive.

- (g) Provide revegetation over all on-site and off-site areas disturbed for project-related utilities.
 - (h) Sanitary sewer improvements are assumed to involve replacing in the vicinity of 3,000 feet of sewer line, with new manholes included. Such construction will cost in the vicinity of \$100,000, is subject to the approval of SBSID, and is further subject to all District fees and agreements necessary for extension of lines.
9. To minimize additional construction traffic impacts, on-site material stockpiling/staging and parking shall be provided during the course of construction. Similarly, cut and fill shall be balanced and distributed on-site whenever practicable, with any waste material to be hauled over City specified routes. Also at the time of conditional use review/approval, individual projects or phases shall provide detailed landscaping, vegetation protection, and construction staging plans.
- 10 As projects are submitted for conditional use approval, the city shall review them for required employee housing in accordance with adopted ordinances in effect at the time of application.

SWEENEY PROPERTIES MASTER PLAN PHASING EXHIBIT - REVISED

<u>Improvement/ Development</u>	Year 1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
MPD Approval Recorded	_____																				
Norfolk Waterline Easement	_____																				
Norfolk ROW	_____																				
Crescent Walkway	_____																				
Empire-Lowell ROW	_____																				
Norfolk Turnaround	_____																				
Misc. Deed Restrictions	_____																				
Hiking Trails/ Foot Paths	_____																				
Tramway Towers Dedication	_____																				
Empire Lowell to Crescent Walkway Connection/ Construction	_____																				
6th Street Sta. way ²	_____																				
5th Street Stairway ²	_____																				
4th Street Stairway ²	_____																				

Year 1986 1987 1988 1989 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006

Project Timeframe

Coalition Properties _____

MPE and Carr-Sheen _____

Town Lift
Mid Station _____

Creole Gulch _____

¹For additional clarification, consult the Planning Department Staff Report and the Sweeney Properties Master Plan document and fact sheet dated May 15, 1985.

²Stairways to be constructed concurrently with development of Hillside Properties unless already improved by Park City Resort or adjacent projects.

SWEENEY PROPERTIES MASTER PLAN DENSITY EXHIBIT

Parcel	Acreage	Residential Unit Equivalents	Commercial Unit Equivalents	Maximum Building Height	Minimum Open Space (%)
Coalition Properties					
East	0.986	40	Maximum Commercial space not to exceed FAR of 1:1	55'	39.8 ¹
West	0.543	13	---	35'	54.9
Hillside Properties					
Creole Gulch	7.75	161.5	15.5	95' ²	70
Town Lift Mid-Station	3.75	35.5	3.5	55' ²	70
Three ½-acre Single Family Lots	1.5	3	---	25'	83.9
Develop HR-1 Properties					
Carr-Sheen	0.288	3	---	28'	60
MPE	0.161	<u>2</u> 258 U.E.	<u>19</u> 19 U.E.		

¹ Does not include Town Lift base facility

² Maximum roof height, excludes elevator shaft

Ruth Gezellus commented that she felt the Sweeney's and Gene Woodruff, their architect, made a great effort to work with the Planning Commission as well as staff on this proposal and that the Commission rarely gets this kind of cooperation from a project in the working stages. Ray Robinson agreed that their efforts were appreciated.

Pat Sweeney expressed his thanks to the staff and Planning Commission for their consideration and help in making it a better project.

Dave Boesch said that he anticipates the project will go before Council in mid-January and will keep the Commissioners posted as to when.

2. Park City Consolidated Hotel MPD and Rezone - Decision on proposed Large Scale Master Planned Development and a recommendation to the City Council on the requested rezoning.

Dave Boesch said that the Commission had reviewed preliminary plans for the proposed Snow Park Hotel MPD at the work session held November 13, 1985. On December 4, 1985 a Public Hearing was held for purposes of receiving public input on the proposed rezoning of the 34.5 acres under review for Master Planned Development approval.

Mr. Boesch briefly recapped the project and said that staff recommends approval of the master plan concept with a density range of 25-30 units. Mr. Boesch said accordingly, the project will have to be scheduled for City Council approval of the rezone and proposed height exception.

Steve Deckert stated that he was the co-owner of Alliance Engineering, Inc., which has done the base mapping for the project and since he had a conflict of interest, he would not be involved in discussion or voting on this item.

Motion:

Ron Whaley: "I move that we approve item number two under New Business, Park City Consolidated Hotel MPD and Rezone, subject to the following conditions as stated in the staff report:

1. The density range recommended for this Master Plan is subject to the approval of the proposed zoning change by the Planning Commission and the City Council.
2. A density range of 25-30 unit equivalents will be applied to this site. The final determination of density for this site will be deferred until the time of conditional use review and is subject to the satisfaction of city staff and Planning Commission that various technical issues have been adequately addressed.
3. The final utility plan shall be reviewed and approved by staff at the time of conditional use review.

4. A fire flow analysis shall be required prior to conditional use approval to ensure that adequate water pressure is available. Depending upon construction timing and the ultimate finished floor elevation of the proposed hotel, the developer may be required to
5. That a detailed site specific geotechnical study be performed prior to conditional use approval.
6. That the final grading and drainage plan shall be reviewed and approved at the time of conditional use review.
7. Waste material shall be confined on-site whenever possible. Specific waste sites and haul routes shall be identified/designated at the time of conditional use review.
8. The final design solution for access to the proposed hotel shall be reviewed and approved by staff at the time of conditional use review.
9. The maximum building height, subject ultimately to approval by the City Council for the proposed height exception, shall be reviewed by staff and approved by the Planning Commission at the time of conditional use review. Based upon the preliminary information provided, the staff and Planning Commission have conceptually approved a maximum height of 45 feet.

Second and Vote

Cal Cowher seconded the motion and the vote was unanimous with one abstention.

3. Kiosk Annexation - Recommendation on proposed annexation and zoning of a one-half acre parcel contiguous to the current corporate boundary and within the city's annexation policy area.

Mr. Boesch introduced this item and recapped the discussion from the December 4, 1985 Public Hearing, as well as the staff's recommendations. Mr. Boesch also mentioned that this was on Council's agenda for the next day.

Steve Deckert, as co-owner of Alliance Engineering Inc., disclosed that he was involved in the preparation of the annexation plat, but did not abstain from discussion and voting.

Motion:

Ruth Gezelius: "I move that we forward a positive recommendation to Council on the proposed annexation and zoning of a one-half acre parcel contiguous to the current corporate boundary and within the city's annexation policy area." Cal Cowher seconded the motion and the vote was unanimous.

4

Planning Commission
December 18, 1985 Minutes
Page 12

4. Deer Valley Special Exception Permit - Decision on proposed revisions to the Deer Valley Special Exception Permit.

Dave Boesch said that this item was withdrawn at the request of the applicant to be rescheduled at another time.

The meeting was adjourned at 8:05 p.m.

Bradley A. O'Neil
Bradley A. O'Neil, Chairman
Park City Planning Commission

1-22-86
Date



Community Development/Engineering
Building and Planning Departments

PLANNING COMMISSION AGENDA

December 18, 1985

MARSAC BUILDING

7:30 P.M.

PUBLIC HEARING - 7:30 p.m.

1. Deer Valley Special Exception Permit - General discussion and for purposes of receiving public input on several revisions requested to the Deer Valley Special Exception Permit.

REGULAR MEETING

- I. Roll Call
- II. Public Input
- III. Minutes of November 27, 1985 and December 4, 1985.
- IV. Consent Agenda
 1. Pine Inn Condominium Plat - Condominium plat approval for the ten-unit first phase of this project located at 2100 Deer Valley Drive South.
- V. Old Business
- VI. New Business
 1. Sweeney Properties MPD - Decision on proposed Large Scale Master Planned Development and a recommendation to the City Council on the requested height variation.
 2. Park City Consolidated Hotel MPD and Rezone - Decision on proposed Large Scale Master Planned Development and a recommendation to the City Council on the requested rezoning.
 3. Kiosk Annexation - Recommendation on proposed annexation and zoning of a one-half acre parcel contiguous to the current corporate boundary and within the city's annexation policy area.
 4. Deer Valley Special Exception Permit - Decision on proposed revisions to the Deer Valley Special Exception Permit.

Posted: 12/9/85
Published: 12/12/85

PARK CITY PLANNING DEPARTMENT
REVISED Staff Report

TO: Planning Commission
FROM: Planning Staff
DATE: December 18, 1985
RE: SWEENEY PROPERTIES MASTER PLAN

I. PROJECT STATISTICS:

Applicant: MPE, Inc.
Sweeney Land Company, owner
Proposal: Large Scale Master Planned Development
Location: Various parcels throughout Historic District
Parcel Size: 125.6 acres
Existing Zoning: Historic Residential (HR-1); Estate (E); and, Historic Recreation Commercial (HRC) currently, although Historic Commercial Business (HCB) at the time of formal application
Comprehensive Plan: Historic Residential and Estate
Surrounding Uses: Ski area, residential, vacant
Application Date: May 21, 1985

II. STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION and FINDINGS

The Planning Department Staff recommends that the Planning Commission APPROVE, and forward a positive recommendation to the City Council on the proposed height variation required and rezoning of the hillside (approximately 110 acres) to Recreation Open Space, the proposed Sweeney Properties Large Scale Master Planned Development. The project has been considered in accordance with the review procedures and criteria outlined in Sections 1 and 10 of the Park City Land Management Code, effective January 1, 1984, as amended. The following plans and exhibits, in addition to this report and the project file, constitute the complete development permit.

1. Sweeney Properties Master Plan, sheets 1-16, 19-26, and 38-43 prepared by DelaMare, Woodruff, Stepan Associates, Inc.
2. Sweeney Properties Master Plan document and Fact Sheet, dated May 15, 1985, and subsequent amendments.
3. Sweeney Properties Master Plan Application.
4. Sweeney Properties Master Plan Phasing Exhibit.
5. Sweeney Properties Master Plan Density Exhibit.
6. Sweeney Properties Master Plan Development Restrictions and Requirements Exhibit.

In support of our recommendation to the Planning Commission to approve the proposed Large Scale Master Planned Development, the staff has made the

following Findings based upon the information submitted in conjunction with this application.

1. The proposed clustered development concept and associated projects are consistent with both the Park City Comprehensive Master Plan and the underlying zoning.
2. The uses proposed and general design of the project is or will be compatible with the character of development in the surrounding area.
3. The open space preserved and conceptual site planning attributes resulting from the cluster approach to the development of the hillside is sufficient justification for the requested height variation necessary, and that the review criteria outlined in Section 10.9 (e) have been duly considered.
4. The commercial uses proposed will be oriented and provide convenient service to those residing within the project.
5. The required parking can readily be provided on-site and in enclosed structures.
6. The proposed phasing plan and conditions outlined will result in the logical and economic development of the project including the extension of requisite utility services.
7. The proposed setbacks will provide adequate separation and buffering.
8. The anticipated nightl/rental and/or transient use is appropriate and compatible with the surrounding area.
9. The provision of easements and rights-of-way for existing utility lines and streets is a benefit that would only be obtained without cost to the residents of Park City through such a master planning effort.
10. The site planning standards as set forth in Section 10.9(g) of the Land Management Code have either been satisfied at this stage of review or practical solutions can be reasonably achieved at the time of conditional use review/approval.

III. DEVELOPMENT PARAMETERS and CONDITIONS

The staff's recommendation that the Sweeney Properties Large Scale Master Planned Development be approved by the Planning Commission, and subsequently by the City Council, is predicated upon the following terms and conditions. Upon approval, MPE Inc./Sweeney Land Company, its successors or assignees, shall become bound by and obligated for the performance of the following:

1. The Sweeney Properties Master Plan is approved based upon the information and analysis prepared and made a part hereof. While most of the requirements imposed will not be imposed until individual parcels are created or submitted for conditional use approval, certain specific obligations are also identified on the approved phasing plan. At the time of conditional use or subdivision review, the staff and Planning Commission shall review projects for compliance with the adopted codes and ordinances in effect at the time, in addition to ensuring conformance with the approved Master Plan.
2. Upon final approval of the proposed Master Plan, a recordable document (in accordance with the Land Management Code) shall be prepared and submitted. The Official Zone Map will be amended to clearly identify those properties included within the Master Plan, and the hillside property not included within either the Town Life Mid-Station or Creole Gulch sites (approximately 110 acres) shall be rezoned to Recreation Open Space. At the time of conditional use review, final building configurations and heights will be reviewed in accordance with the approved Master Plan, applicable zoning codes and related ordinances. A minimum of 70% open space shall be provided within each of the development parcels created except for the Coalition properties.
3. The approved densities are those attached as an Exhibit, and shall be limited to the maximums identified thereon. Parking shall be provided on-site in enclosed structures and reviewed in accordance with either the table on the approved Restrictions and Requirements Exhibit or the adopted ordinances at the time of project approval. All support commercial uses shall be oriented and provide convenient service to those residing within the project and not designed to serve off-site or attract customers from other areas.
4. Access to the Town Lift and Creole sites shall be provided by a private roadway with acceptable emergency access and utility easements provided. No city maintenance of these streets is expected. All utility lines shall be provided underground with private maintenance required wherever located in inaccessible locations or outside approved easements.
5. Building heights shall be limited to the maximum envelope described on the Restrictions and Requirements Exhibit. At the time of conditional use approval, projects shall be reviewed for conformance with the heights prescribed thereon, and the following:
 - (a) The various parcels located within the Historic Residential (HR-1) zone district shall abide by the Land Management Code and no height exceptions will be considered. Maximum building height on the single

4

family lots shall be limited to 25' in order to reduce potential viability.

- (b) The Coalition East sites are limited to a maximum building height of 55', subject to compliance with the stepped facade (as shown on the applicable plans) concept submitted and the setbacks provided.
- (c) The Coalition West properties are limited to a 35' maximum building height adjacent to Park Avenue and a 28' height along Woodside Avenue; subject to the footprints defined, common underground parking and access, and no commercial uses allowed.
- (d) The Town Lift Mid-Station development is restricted to a maximum height of 35' for at least 90% of the total unit equivalent volume of all above-grade buildings (exclusive of elevator shafts, mechanical equipment, and non-habitable areas) and an overall average height of less than 25' measured from natural, undisturbed grade. Additionally, no portion of any building shall exceed the elevation of 7240' above mean sea level.
- (e) The Creole Gulch site shall be limited to a maximum building height of 75' for at least 83% of the total unit equivalent volume of all above-grade buildings combined. An average overall height of less than 45' shall be provided and no portion of any building shall exceed either elevation 7250' for the eastern-most building or the elevation of 7275' for the balance of the project (above mean sea level).

The above building height restrictions are in accordance with the approved Restrictions and Requirements Exhibits submitted, and are in addition to all other codes, ordinances, and standards.

- 6. At the time of project review and approval, all buildings shall be reviewed for conformance with the Historic District Design Guidelines and related architectural requirements. No mechanical equipment or similar protuberances (i.e: antennae, flags, etc.) shall be permitted to be visible on any building roof-tops or shall any bright or flashing lights be allowed.
- 7. All easements, deeds, and/or rights-of-way shall be provided without cost to the city and in accordance with the master plan documents and phasing plan approved. Likewise, it shall be the developer's sole responsibility to secure all easements necessary for the provision of utility services to the project.
- 8. Master Planned Development approval only conceptually established the ability of local utility service providers to supply service to the projects. It does not constitute any formal approval per se. The applicant has been notified that substantial off-site improvements will be necessary and that the burden is on the future developer(s) to secure various easements and upsize whatever utility lines may be necessary in order to serve this project. Prior to resale of this property in which this MPD approval is carried forward, or prior to any conditional use application for any portion of the MPD, a utility plan addressing water, fire flows, and sanitary sewer, storm drainage, cable utilities, and natural gas shall be prepared for review and approval by City Staff and the

4

Snyderville Basin Sewer Improvement District. Part of the plan shall be cost estimates for each item of utility construction as it is anticipated that major costs for these utilities will be necessary. All such costs shall be paid by the developer unless otherwise provided. If further subdivision of the MPD property occurs, the necessary utility and access improvements (see below) will need to be guaranteed in accordance with city subdivision ordinances. Public utilities, roads, and access questions which will need to be resolved or upgraded by the developers at their cost (in addition to impact fees, water development and connection fees, and all other fees required by city ordinances) are as follows:

(a) Empire Avenue and Lowell Avenue will be the main access routes to the Creole Gulch site. As such, during construction these roads will need to carry heavy traffic, probably in the vicinity of up to 300 heavy trucks per day. At the present time and until the Creole Gulch site develops, Empire and Lowell south of Manor Way are and will be low-volume residential streets, with a pavement quality, width, and thickness that won't support that type of truck traffic. The City will continue to maintain the streets as low-volume residential streets, including pavement overlays and/or reconstruction. None of that work will be designed for the heavy truck traffic, but in order to save money for the developer of the Creole Gulch site, he or she is encouraged to keep the City Public Works Director notified as to the timetable of construction at Creole Gulch. If the City is notified that the construction is pending such that an improved pavement section can be incorporated into normal City maintenance projects, then it is anticipated that the incremental additional cost of the additional pavement thickness (which is likely to be in the vicinity of 3 additional inches of asphalt over the entire 4,6000 linear feet [25-foot asphalt width] of Lowell/Empire south of Manor Way, or approximately \$80,000 additional cost in 1986 dollars) could be paid by the developer with said amount deducted from future impact fees paid to the City as long as it did not exceed the total future impact fees. However, if the increased pavement section is not coordinated with the City by the developer such that the pavement of Lowell and Empire south of Manor Way remains inadequate at the time the Creole Gulch site is developed, then the developer shall essentially reconstruct the entire 4,600-foot length of Lowell and Empire south of Manor Way at his or her cost, which with excavation and reconstruction of an anticipated 6-inch asphalt thickness on top of 10 inches of roadbase, plus all other normal construction items and costs, would be in the approximate cost range of \$300,000 to \$400,000 in 1986 dollars. Further, because that reconstruction would be inconvenient to residents and the City, and because delays, impacts, and potential safety hazards would be created over and above normal City maintenance of existing streets, that action by the developer would be a raw impact on City residents and the cost therefore would not be deductible from any developer impact fees.

(b) Contribute to the Park City Village, or other water tanks, determined to be necessary by the City Engineer in order to serve the project with culinary and fire storage. Based on a Type 1 fire resistive construction, it is assumed that the contribution would be on the order of 500,000 gallons at a cost of approximately \$300,000.00,

4

although the exact figures would need to be determined in a detailed study using adopted City standards.

- (c) Construct pumped pressure system(s) with backup emergency power to provide a means of delivery of fire flows to the project. Construct a meter vault at the edge of the road adjacent to the project, beyond which all water facilities would be privately maintained. It is anticipated that in the vicinity of 2,500 feet of 12-inch water line with appurtenances may be required. Such pipe would cost about \$70,000 in 1986 dollars exclusive of the pumps and backup power, which are even more expensive.
 - (d) Provide an easement, or pay all costs related to condemnation by Park City of an easement, suitable for construction and maintenance of a storm drain from the project site to Silver Creek or McLeod Creek. All City streets and any public utility drainage easements normally provided in the course of other private development shall be available for utility construction related to this MPD subject to reasonable construction techniques and City standards.
 - (e) Pay for downstream detention basin construction costs in accordance with the ratio of increased runoff from the project during the 50-year flood event to the total design volume of the basin.
 - (f) Construct a storm drain line to Silver Creek or McLeod Creek adequate to contain the runoff running through and off the site during the 50-year flood event. It is assumed that a minimum of 36-inch concrete storm drain line will need to be installed solely for Creole Gulch drainage. It is further assumed that special cleanout boxes and inlet boxes will need to be designed to address difficult hydraulic problems. Such boxes are expensive.
 - (g) Provide revegetation over all on-site and off-site areas disturbed for project-related utilities.
 - (h) Sanitary sewer improvements are assumed to involve replacing in the vicinity of 3,000 feet of sewer line, with new manholes included. Such construction will cost in the vicinity of \$100,000, is subject to the approval of SBSID, and is further subject to all District fees and agreements necessary for extension of lines.
9. To minimize additional construction traffic impacts, on-site material stockpiling/staging and parking shall be provided during the course of construction. Similarly, cut and fill shall be balanced and distributed on-site whenever practicable, with any waste material to be hauled over City specified routes. Also at the time of conditional use review/approval, individual projects or phases shall provide detailed landscaping, vegetation protection, and construction staging plans.
- 10 As projects are submitted for conditional use approval, the city shall review them for required employee housing in accordance with adopted ordinances in effect at the time of application.

IV. BACKGROUND

An application for Large Scale Master Planned Development was submitted on May 21, 1985, in accordance with Sections 1 and 10 of the Park City Land Management Code. The applicant requested that only general development concept and density be approved at this juncture. Final unit configuration and mix may be adjusted by future developers at the time of conditional use review. A legal description of the total property involved in the area being master planned shall be recorded with Summit County. The general nature of the development and pertinent details of the transferring of densities from one area to another shall be adequately described and of sufficient depth to apprise potential land purchasers or developers that the property has been included within a Master Plan.

A variety of development concepts were submitted during the course of reviewing the proposed Master Plan. A total of eight distinct approaches to the development of the Hillside Properties were evaluated. The alternative concepts ranged from a "conventional" subdivision approach involving the extension of Norfolk Avenue, to a modern high-rise concept. The staff, Planning Commission and general public have all favored the clustering of development as opposed to spreading it out. Several of the alternatives prepared were in response to specific concerns expressed relative to the scale and mass of buildings necessary to accommodate the density proposed. The latest concept developed represents a refined version of the cluster approach originally submitted.

V. NARRATIVE

The Sweeney Properties Master Plan involves a number of individual development parcels. Combined, a total of 277 unit equivalents are proposed; including, 258 residential and 19 unit equivalents worth of support commercial space. Based upon the zoning in effect at this time, in excess of 450 units could be requested. While this may be somewhat misleading due to certain physical and technical constraints (i.e: access, slope, utilities), it does reveal that a significant reduction in total density proposed has been incorporated into the project. Each area proposed for development has been evaluated on its own merits. During the course of review, numerous concepts were considered with densities shifted around.

The various parcels of land included within the Sweeney Properties Master Plan are scattered about the Historic District and are detailed on the attached Exhibit. For additional clarity a brief narrative description of each development area follows:

Coalition Properties

The three sites comprising the Coalition Properties are located adjacent to the new Town Lift base station on Park Avenue at 8th Street, and contain a total of 1.73 acres (1.46 acres HRC, .27 acres HR-1).

The Coalition East North and South parcels are separated by an easement granted for the ski liftway. Although this property was included within the recent rezoning of the Depot Area from Historic Commercial Business (HCB) to Historic Recreation Commercial (HRC), the application was submitted prior to this action and the former zoning is thereby "grandfathered" (if, in fact, the

4

application is approved). The development concept proposed entails a predominantly residential project with some ground level commercial uses anticipated. In an effort to reduce densities elsewhere within the Master Plan, the originally proposed density has been increased from 37 to 40 unit equivalents. Preliminary building footprints and massing drawings show structures with a stepped facade reaching a maximum height of fifty-five feet. Parking will be provided within an enclosed structure beneath the buildings and in accordance with the Table on the Restrictions and Requirements Exhibit or the Land Management Code (to be determined at the time of conditional use approval).

The Coalition West property is located south of and adjacent to 8th Street in the Historic Recreation Commercial (HRC) zone recently created. The concept for this particular site is in keeping with the previous zoning (Historic Residential, HR-1) and provides a buffer for properties located to its west. Also in response to preferred reductions in density elsewhere in the Master Plan, the originally proposed ten unit equivalents have been increased to thirteen total. In order to accommodate this additional density, a floor was added to several of the buildings. Building heights adjacent to Park Avenue have been shown at 35', while those abutting Woodside Avenue will be restricted to a 28' height. Individual structures have been conceptually designed in keeping with the scale of the Historic District will all code required parking to be provided below the buildings and accessed from a single common driveway.

HR-1 Properties

These project parcels consist of the MPE and Carr-Sheen properties and total less than $\frac{1}{2}$ acre (.45) in size. Zoned HR-1 at present, the Master Plan proposes to limit densities on these sites to 2 and 3 unit equivalents accordingly, or a reduction of 44% (i.e: 4 units total). In addition, easements shall be provided for a stairway connecting the Empire-Lowell switchback to the Crescent walkway. The Fletcher parcel included within the Master Plan will be preserved as open space in addition to several quit claim deeds provided to the city for existing streets located outside platted rights-of-way.

Hillside Properties

By far the largest area included within the proposed Master Plan, the Hillside Properties involve over 123 acres currently zoned HR-1 (approximately 15 acres) and Estate (108 acres). The development concept proposed would cluster the bulk of the density derived into two locations; the Town Lift Mid-Station site and the Creole Gulch area. A total of 197 residential and an additional 19 commercial unit equivalents are proposed between the two developments with over 90% of the hillside (locally referred to as Treasure Mountain) preserved as open space. As part of the Master Plan, the land not included within the development area boundary will be rezoned to Recreation Open Space (ROS).

The Town Lift Mid-Station site contains roughly 3.75 acres and is located west of Woodside Avenue at approximately 6th Street. The majority of the developable area is situated southeast of the mid-station loading area. A total of 35.5 residential unit equivalents are proposed with 3.5 equivalents worth of support commercial space as well. The concept plan shows a number of low profile buildings located on the downhill side of the access road containing 9 unit equivalents. Two larger buildings are shown above the road with 9.5 and 17

units envisioned. The average building height for the Town Lift site is less than 25' with over 85% of the building volume fitting within a 35' height envelope. Parking will be provided within enclosed structures, accessed via a private road originating from the Empire-Lowell switchback. The closest neighboring residence is currently located in excess of 200 feet away.

The Creole Gulch site is comprised of 7.75 acres and situated basically south of the Empire-Lowell switchback at approximately 8th Street. The majority of the property is currently zoned Estate (E). A total of 161.5 residential unit equivalents are proposed. In addition, 15.5 unit equivalents of support commercial space is included as part of the Master Plan. Average building heights are proposed to be less than 45' with a maximum of 95' for the highest point. As conceptually proposed, in excess of 80% of the building volume is within a 75' height envelope measured from existing grade. It is expected that the Creole Gulch site will be subdivided into specific development parcels at some future date. Parking is accessed directly from the Empire-Lowell switchback and will be provided within multi-level enclosed structures. Depending upon the character of development and unit configuration/mix proposed at conditional use approval, the actual numbers of parking spaces necessary could vary substantially. Buildings have been set back from the adjacent road approximately 100' and a comparable distance to the nearest adjoining residence.

Miscellaneous Properties

In addition to the development areas described above, the proposed Master Plan identifies three distinct single-family lots; one of which is located above Woodside Avenue adjacent to and north of platted 5th Street, a second to be accessed from Upper Norfolk, and a third lot to be situated up on top of Treasure Mountain (possible future access predicated on United Park City Mines Company's plans for development off of King Road). Development would be restricted to single-family homes with no greater than 3500 square foot footprints and maximum building heights of 25 feet.

VI. MAJOR ISSUES

Many concerns were raised and issues identified through the review process. A project of this scale and complexity would pose similar and considerable consternation no matter where it was proposed to be built. Because this particular site is located both within and adjacent to the Historic District, many of the concerns expressed related to the more subjective kinds of considerations. The Master Planned Development procedure attempts to deal with the general concept of the proposed development and defer or relegate the very detailed project review elements to the conditional use stage of review. At conditional use review, the following issues will be examined in considerable detail with technical solutions sought.

Comprehensive Plan - The city's Comprehensive Master Plan identifies the Hillside property as a key scenic area and recommends that development be limited to the lower portions of the mountain. The existing HR-1 ground included in the Sweeney Master Plan is shown as being retained for residential use similar to the existing pattern of development. The Coalition West site is also recommended for Historic Residential use with the East parcels included within a Historic Commercial area. The proposed Sweeney

Properties MPD is in conformance with the land use designations outlined in the Park City Comprehensive Master Plan.

Scale - The overall scale and massiveness of the project has been of primary concern. Located within the Historic District, it is important for project designed to be compatible with the scale already established. The cluster concept for development of the hillside area, while minimizing the impacts in other areas, does result in additional scale considerations. The focus or thrust of the review process has been to examine different ways of accommodating the development of the property while being mindful of and sensitive to the surrounding neighborhood. The relocation of density from the Town Lift site was partly in response to this issue. The concentration of density into the Creole Gulch area, which because of its topography and the substantial mountain backdrop which helps alleviate some of the concern, and the requested height variation necessary in order to reduce the mass perceived (higher versus lower and wider), have greatly improved the overall scale of the cluster approach. The sites along Park Avenue have been conceptually planned to minimize scale and have provided stepped facades and smaller-scale buildings to serve as a transition.

Zoning - Currently, the land involved in the proposed MPD is comprised of three (actually four) distinct zoning designations. The Coalition East parcel is currently zoned Historic Recreation Commercial (HRC) although it was zoned (and is therefore, technically "grandfathered" or vested) Historic Commercial Business at the time the application was submitted. The West site is also now zoned HRC. The Hillside Properties (i.e: Town Lift Mid-Station and Creole Gulch sites) are zoned Historic Residential (HR-1) and Estate (E). The Carr-Sheen, MPE, and two of the three single-family lots are all zoned HR-1 as well. The single-family lot adjacent to property owned by United Park City Mines is zoned Estate.

The current zoning will basically remain unaltered as a result of the proposed Master Plan except that over 110 acres of the mountain will be rezoned to Recreation Open Space (ROS), and the hillside properties will be designated as being subject to a Master Planned Development document/approval (i.e: E/HR1-MPD).

Neighborhood Compatibility - In reviewing the general compatibility of a project of this scale, an evaluation of possible alternative approaches was undertaken. In light of those other development concepts and associated impacts, the proposed clustering approach was deemed the most compatible. Rather than spread the density out and thereby impact the entire old town area, the cluster concept afforded the ability to limit the impacts to smaller areas. Efforts to minimize scale have been directed toward this issue as have the solutions to other problems related to traffic, site disturbance, and the preservation of open space. The non-hillside project sites have also been planned in accordance with both the Historic District guidelines and in keeping with the scale of existing residences. The long build-out period envisioned will also enable a more detailed review at the time when specific project proposals are developed. A number of the staff's recommended conditions are directed toward minimizing the potential conflicts related to neighborhood compatibility considerations.

4

Open Space - A key element of the proposed cluster approach is to preserve usable open space in perpetuity. A total of 97% (120 acres) of the hillside will be maintained as open space as a part of the proposed Master Plan. In excess of 110 acres will actually be rezoned to Recreation Open Space (ROS) in addition to 70% open space provided within each of the development parcels. Alternative concepts reviewed involving the extension of Norfolk Avenue would significantly have reduced the amount of open space retained. The potential for the subdivision and scattered development of the hillside would also have drastically affected the goal of preserving the mountain substantially intact and pristine.

Access - All of the different concepts reviewed would result in similar access concerns. The Coalition properties along Park Avenue have excellent access as a result and efforts were, therefore, limited to combining driveways to minimize the number of curb cuts (i.e: ingress/egress points). The development of the Hillside Properties will undoubtedly impact not only Empire and Lowell Avenues but other local streets as well. While certain assumptions could be made as to the type or character of development proposed and possible corresponding differences in traffic patterns, many of the questions raised would remain unanswered. While it is true that the Norfolk Avenue extended alternative would best deal with the current problem of poor access to that area, it would not have solved all of the access issues. The proposed Master Plan will provide sufficient ground, to be dedicated to the city, for purposes of developing a reasonable turnaround for Upper Norfolk.

Visibility - The issue of visibility is one which varies with the different concepts proposed and vantage or view points selected. The very detailed visual analyses prepared graphically demonstrated how the various proposals might look from key points around town. The cluster approach, although highly visible from certain areas, does not impose massive structures in the most prominent areas. Instead, the tallest buildings have been tucked into Creole Gulch where topography combines with the densely vegetated mountainside to effectively reduce the buildings' visibility. The height and reduction in density at the Mid-Station site has been partly in response to this concern. The staff has included a condition that an exhibit be attached to the Master Plan approval that further defines building envelope limitations and architectural considerations.

Building Height - In order to minimize site disturbance and coverage, the clustering of density necessitated consideration of building heights in excess of that which is permitted in the underlying zoning (28' to the mid-point of a pitched roof with a maximum ridge height of 33'). The various iterations submitted for review demonstrated the trade-offs between height and site coverage. The proposed concept for the Mid-Station area results in buildings that would average only 18' above grade with portions (primarily the elevator access shafts likely to be required) approaching 75' in the worst-case situation. The concept reviewed for the Creole Gulch area entails portions of buildings as high as 100', but with an overall average of less than 40'. The Coalition East property, as a result of transferring additional density to it, is proposed to go as high as 55'; whereas, the Coalition West site approaches 35' along the Park Avenue frontage and 28' adjacent Woodside Avenue. As a part of the Master Planned Development process, height variations can be approved in light of other

4

planning considerations (see Section 10.9(c) of the Land Management Code). Throughout the review, considerable effort has been directed at minimizing overall building height and related impacts while still accommodating the proposed density in a cluster type of development.

The staff has developed a number of recommended conditions in response to the concerns expressed over building heights. An exhibit defining building "envelopes" has been developed to define areas where increased building heights can be accommodated with the least amount of impact. It is our recommendation that maximum building heights be restricted to 35' and 75' at the Town Lift Mid-Station and Creole Gulch sites, respectively, for the bulk of (at least 83%) the building volumes. Similarly, we recommend that the building envelope proposed for the Coalition properties be limited in accordance with the exhibits prepared and made a part of the approval documents.

Overall Concept - The concept of clustering densities on the lower portion of the hillside with some transferring to the Coalition properties has evolved from both previous proposals submitted and this most recent review process. The Park City Comprehensive Master Plan update that was recently enacted encourages the clustering of permitted density to those areas of the property better able to accommodate development. In order to preserve scenic areas in town and mitigate potentially adverse impacts on the environment, the Master Planned Development concept was devised. The Sweeney Properties MPD was submitted after a number of different development concepts had been reviewed; including, several versions of the Silver Mountain proposal and various designs that were predicated on the extension of Norfolk Avenue through to the Empire-Lowell Avenues area. After considerable staff discussion and input, the cluster concept was developed. Because of the underlying zoning and resultant density currently in place, the cluster approach to developing on the hillside has been favored throughout the formal review and Hearing process.

Land Uses - The predominant land uses envisioned at this time are transient-oriented residential development(s) with some limited support commercial. The building forms and massing as well as location lend themselves to hotel-type development. Although future developers of projects within the Master Plan have the flexibility to build a variety of unit types in different combinations or configurations, the likelihood is that these projects will likely be geared toward the visitor looking for more of a destination-type of accommodation. The property involved in the Master Plan is directly connected to the Park City Ski Area and as such can provide ski-to and ski-from access. A number of smaller projects in the area are similarly oriented to the transient lodger. Although certainly a different kind of residential use than that which historically has developed in the old town area, it is still primarily residential in nature. The inclusion of attached townhomes serving to buffer between the existing residences and the denser areas of development will also help provide a transition of sorts. The amount of commercial space included within the Master Plan will be of the size and type to provide convenient service to those residing within the project, rather than possibly be in competition with the city's existing commercial areas.

4

Traffic - Any form of development proposed in this area of town would certainly impact existing streets. Although the majority of traffic generated will use Empire and Lowell Avenues, other roads will also be affected. The concept of extending Norfolk Avenue would have improved access to the south end of old town, but would also have added additional traffic to Empire and Lowell as a result. It is expected that both Empire and Lowell will be improved in several years in order to facilitate traffic movement in general. Even without this project, some upgrading has been planned as identified through the development of the Streets Master Plan.

In evaluating traffic impacts, both construction and future automobile demand are considered. Many related issues also come into play, such as efforts to minimize site grading and waste export. The Master Plan review process affords the opportunity to address these issues in considerable detail whereas other reviews would not. Several of the conditions proposed deal with the issue of traffic and efforts directed at mitigating the impacts created. Traffic within the project will be handled on private roadways with minimal impact.

Utilities - The various utility providers have all reviewed the proposed development concept and do not oppose granting Master Plan approval. Substantial improvements to existing infrastructure will be necessary, however, and the developer has been apprised of his responsibility. Considerable off-site work will be required, the details of which will be resolved at the time of conditional use approval. Depending upon the timing of actual development or the possible subdivision of the property, participation in upgrading existing utility lines and roadway improvements may be required ahead of schedule. A number of parameters/conditions recommended further detail these issues and serve to verify the nature of MPD concept approval.

Fiscal - The proposed dense clustering of development is by far the most economic to service. In contrast to other concepts proposed involving the extension of Norfolk Avenue and possible scattered development of the hillside, the cluster approach represents a positive impact on the city's and other public entities budgets. The nature of development anticipated and lack of additional roadway and utility line extensions requiring maintenance will not create significant additional demands for service.

Tenancy - The likely occupancy and tenancy of the projects comprising the Master Plan will be transient in nature. Rather than housing significant numbers of year-round permanent residents, it is expected that the orientation will instead be toward the short-term visitor.

Circulation - Circulation within the primary development sites will be on foot. Private roadways/drives access the project parking areas with vehicular circulation provided between projects and for service/delivery, construction, and emergency purposes. Pedestrian circulation within the projects will be provided via walkways and plazas with off-site improvements made to facilitate area-wide access. Several nearby stairways will be (re)constructed in accordance with the approved phasing and project plans.

4

Easements/Rights-of-Way - The Sweeneys have included the dedication and and/or deeding of several easements and sections of rights-of-way to improve the city's title. As a part of the Master Plan, several roadway sections and utility/access corridors will be deeded over. In addition, a right-of-way will be supplied for the construction of a hammerhead-type turnaround for Upper Norfolk Avenue.

Norfolk Avenue - Although several staff members supported the idea of extending Norfolk Avenue through to Empire-Lowell, the consensus was in support of the clustering approach to development. Technical as well as fiscal concerns were discussed relative to the access benefits that would result. Similarly, although the resultant scale of HR-1 development that would have been likely is closer to that prevalent in the Historic District today, the spreading-out of the impacts of road and development construction would have been exacerbated. In lieu of extending Norfolk Avenue, the Sweeney's have consented to deed to the city sufficient land for a turnaround and to participate in the formation of a special improvement district for roadway improvements (in addition to providing an easement for the existing water line).

Grading - The proposed cluster concept will result in less grading than the alternatives considered. The MPD review enabled the staff, Planning Commission, and developer the opportunity to consider this kind of concern early in the project design process. The concept plans developed have examined the level of site work required and how potential impacts can be mitigated. Various conditions supported by staff have been suggested in order to verify the efforts to be taken to minimize the amount of grading necessary and correlated issues identified.

Disturbance - The eight distinct development scenarios presented each had a varying degree of associated site disturbance. The current concept results in considerably less site clearing and grading than any of the others presented (except the total high-rise approach). A balance between site disturbance and scale/visibility has been attained through the course of reviewing alternate concepts. General development parameters have been proposed for Master Plan approval with the detailed definition of "limits of disturbance" deferred until conditional use review.

Density - The proposed densities are well within the maximum allowed and actually about one-half of that which the underlying zones would permit. While it would not be practical or feasible to develop to the full extent of the "paper density", the proposed Master Plan does represent a considerable reduction from that which could be proposed. During the course of review, numerous comparables were presented which demonstrated that the overall density proposed (1.77 unit equivalents per acre of the Hillside Properties and 2.20 for the entire MPD) is the lowest of any large scale project recently approved. The net densities proposed for the hillside properties, while seemingly quite high, are in actuality lower than the density of the surrounding area. Thus, even though a transferring and congregation of development density is occurring, the overall gross and net densities are well within ranges approved for other projects.

Phasing - The build-out of the entire Master Plan is expected to take somewhere between 15-20 years. The Coalition properties will likely be

4

developed within 5-10 years with development of the Hillside area not expected for at least 10 years. Because of the scope of the project and the various related improvements necessary to accommodate a project of this nature, a detailed time line has been developed as an attachment to the MPD approval documents. While some flexibility is built-into the approved Master Plan, any period of inactivity in excess of two years would be cause for Planning Commission to consider terminating the approval.

Setbacks - All of the development sites provide sufficient setbacks. The Coalition properties conceptually show a stepped building facade with a minimum 10' setback for the West site (in keeping with the HRC zoning) and a 20' average setback for the East sites. The Hillside properties provide substantial 100'+ setbacks from the road, with buildings sited considerably farther from the closest residence.

Fire Safety - The clustering of development proposed affords better overall fire protection capabilities than would a more scattered form. Buildings will be equipped with sprinkler systems and typical "high-rise" fire protection requirements will be implemented. The proposed development concept locates buildings in areas to avoid cutting and removing significant evergreens existing on the site. Specific parameters have been recommended by the staff with actual details proposed to be deferred until conditional use review.

Snow Removal/Storage - The cluster approach to development results in less roadway or associated hard-surfaced area and thereby reduces the amount of snow storage/removal necessary. Considerable effort has been devoted in looking at everything from snow melting systems to where pitched roofs will shed. No additional snow removal will be required of the city. At conditional use approval, additional consideration will be appropriate to ensure that snow storage can safely and reasonably be handled on-site.

Employee Housing - At the time of conditional use approval, individual projects shall be reviewed for impacts on and the possible provision of employee housing in accordance with applicable city ordinances in effect.

Landscaping/Erosion Control - Detailed landscaping plans and erosion control/revegetation methodologies for minimizing site impacts will be required at the time of conditional use review. Plantings shall be reviewed for their ability to provide visual interest and blend with existing native materials.

Trails - The proposed phasing plan identifies the timing of construction for summertime hiking trails and related pedestrian connections. Trails, stairways, and sidewalks accessing or traversing the various properties will be required in accordance with both the approved phasing plan and at the time of conditional use review/approval.

SWEENEY PROPERTIES MASTER PLAN PHASING EXHIBIT - REVISED

Year 1986 1987 1988 1989 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006

Improvement/
Development

MPD Approval
Recorded

Norfolk Waterline
Easement

Norfolk ROW

Crescent Walkway

Empire-Lowell ROW

Norfolk Turnaround

Misc. Deed
Restrictions

Hiking Trails/
Foot Paths

Tramway Towers
Dedication

Empire Lowell to
Crescent Walkway
Connection/
Construction

6th Street Stairway²

5th Street Stairway²

4th Street Stairway²

Year 1986 1987 1988 1989 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006

Project Timeframe

Coalition Properties _____

MPE and Carr-Sheen _____

Town Lift
Mid Station _____

Creole Gulch _____

¹For additional clarification, consult the Planning Department Staff Report and the Sweeney Properties Master Plan document and fact sheet dated May 15, 1985.

²Stairways to be constructed concurrently with development of Hillside Properties unless already improved by Park City Resort or adjacent projects.

SWEENEY PROPERTIES MASTER PLAN DENSITY EXHIBIT

Parcel	Acreage	Residential Unit Equivalents	Commercial Unit Equivalents	Maximum Building Height	Minimum Open Space (%)
Coalition Properties					
East	0.986	40	Maximum Commercial space not to exceed FAR of 1:1	55'	39.8 ¹
West	0.543	13	---	35'	54.9
Hillside Properties					
Crrole Gulch	7.75	161.5	15.5	95' ²	70
Town Lift Mid-Station	3.75	35.5	3.5	55' ²	70
Three ½-acre Single Family Lots	1.5	3	---	25'	83.9
Develop HR-1 Properties					
Carr-Sheen	0.288	3	---	28'	60
MPE	0.161	<u>2</u> 258 U.E.	<u>19</u> 19 U.E.		

¹ Does not include Town Lift base facility

² Maximum roof height, excludes elevator shaft