

planning

From: jeffrey perlowitz <jperlo@icloud.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 05, 2017 12:23 PM
To: planning
Cc: jeffrey perlowitz
Subject: Treasure Hill project

To whom it may concern,

We strongly urge you to vote no on the Treasure Hill project. There are numerous reasons to vote no but let me give you my opinion.

I feel the applicant and his “experts “ have been less than forthright in replying to both requests for information, common sense points brought up by the community, and real world issues in building a project of this size.

1. Traffic: the applicant seems to be unwilling to provide an accurate count of both construction vehicles and worker vehicles at either the beginning or middle of the project. When asked about staging, parking, questions about 2 way flow on Lowell, employee parking etc, the applicant and his experts seem to have no plan beyond the vague use of walkie talkies. Everyone knows Lowell starts at the corner of the PCMR parking lot. Does this mean that construction vehicles will compete with buses and skiers to use the 2 lanes and the one exit lane to leave the parking lot, or does it mean that they don't work from December thru early April.

2. Noise: The applicant's experts have testified that blasting is the easy way to do this. But we aren't talking about 1 or 2 blasts a day. In fact, we don't actually know how many blasts a day we are talking about , because again the experts have not furnished this information. Is it actually possible they haven't thought about this . But we do know that everyone from Kimball junction to the hospital will be able to count the number as the noise flows throughout our community.

3. Pollution: its shocking that the applicant has not addressed the community's concerns in this regard as decades old pollutants will now be disturbed and potentially affect our drinking water. Again how can a serious applicant not investigate this ? Will this just be ignored during construction like its being ignored currently.

4. Timeline: My gut tells me this is at least a decade long project, but again no reasonable numbers have been provided. What happens when conditions change 5 years from now and there is less demand for expensive mountainside housing or lodging. Does the project get abandoned until things turn around. Perhaps, the applicant needs to post a 1/2 billion dollar completion bond ?

5. One million cubic yards of rock: The applicant has still not answered the questions regarding the stability of the mountain side after depositing over a million cubic yards of rock at the top. Maybe he knows the answer. Maybe he understands there is no way to guarantee that once established at the top there isn't a way to make sure it stays there. And then what ?

Finally, it seems to me that the applicant has been completely disrespectful and disingenuous to both the Commission and the residents of Park City. His experts have either spoken in half truths, or worse, while providing no real information . Mr. Sweeney himself entertained us with a history lesson of Park City and informed us that he plans on using steel, stone, and wood to build this project. Not helpful.

We would like to thank the Commission for its hard work and diligence on these matters . We urge you to vote no and defeat this awful project.

Thank you.

Jeffrey & Nancie Perlowitz
1045 Woodside Ave

Homeowners since 3/14
Skiers and visitors nonstop since January 1994